

Addendum

- Our initial goal with this informal survey was to capture larger themes and had not considered disaggregation of questions by demographic characteristics (in particular, considering the small program size and minimal number of students per subgroup (e.g., cohort year) to avoid breaking anonymity/confidentiality for certain questions). We would love to lean into faculty expertise moving forward to capture more meaningful data for all stakeholders.
 - To clarify, the potential sample included 39 students from the formal program list
 - Cohort years: 2016-2020; First Year through ABD
 - The 2016 cohort only included a few ABD and not the entire group; the 2015 cohort only included those who have not graduated
 - 82.1% opened the initial email (no data from reminder emails as that was not tracked, unfortunately)
 - A total of 21 respondents/students
 - Participant data is anonymous in its aggregate form, as no names were asked/linked to data. However, due to the small sample size, especially within subgroups, we are considering the data confidential. This data, when disaggregated in certain ways, may allow for identification of specific respondents.
- In the future (and in hindsight) we would like to rephrase the question about students' year in the program to ask about incoming cohort year or allow for an open-ended option to allow for more meaningful data. Unfortunately, we also did not ask about what degree track respondents were in (MA vs PhD). The number of respondents in each year is included below.
 - Year 1: 3
 - Year 2: 3
 - Year 4: 6
 - Other: 5
 - Prefer not to say: 4
- To clarify our funding source, the incentive was one \$10 gift card, and we split the cost among two students.